Limit theorem for compensated Riemann sum and numerical SDEs

Yanghui Liu

Baruch College, CUNY

SSP, March 19-22, 2025. Indiana University

< ロ > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

• Let *W* be a standard Brownian motion and $\mathcal{P} : 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$ be a partition of the interval [0, T].

- Let *W* be a standard Brownian motion and $\mathcal{P} : 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$ be a partition of the interval [0, T].
- Consider the convergence of the Riemann sum:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(W_{t_k}) \cdot (t_{k+1} - t_k) \to \int_0^T f(W_t) dt \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

- Let *W* be a standard Brownian motion and $\mathcal{P} : 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$ be a partition of the interval [0, T].
- Consider the convergence of the Riemann sum:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(W_{t_k}) \cdot (t_{k+1} - t_k) \to \int_0^T f(W_t) dt \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

• It can be shown that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(W_{t_k}) \cdot (t_{k+1} - t_k) - \int_0^T f(W_t) dt \sim O(|\mathcal{P}|).$$

- Let *W* be a standard Brownian motion and $\mathcal{P} : 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$ be a partition of the interval [0, T].
- Consider the convergence of the Riemann sum:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(W_{t_k}) \cdot (t_{k+1} - t_k) \to \int_0^T f(W_t) dt \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

It can be shown that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(W_{t_k}) \cdot (t_{k+1} - t_k) - \int_0^T f(W_t) dt \sim O(|\mathcal{P}|).$$

Question: What is the order for:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(B_{t_k}) \cdot (t_{k+1} - t_k) - \int_0^T f(B_t) dt$$

if B is a fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$,

- Let *W* be a standard Brownian motion and $\mathcal{P} : 0 = t_0 < t_1 < \cdots < t_n = T$ be a partition of the interval [0, T].
- Consider the convergence of the Riemann sum:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(W_{t_k}) \cdot (t_{k+1} - t_k) \to \int_0^T f(W_t) dt \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

It can be shown that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(W_{t_k}) \cdot (t_{k+1} - t_k) - \int_0^T f(W_t) dt \sim O(|\mathcal{P}|).$$

Question: What is the order for:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} f(B_{t_k}) \cdot (t_{k+1} - t_k) - \int_0^T f(B_t) dt$$

if *B* is a fractional Brownian motion (fBm) with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$, or if *B* is a general Gaussian process?

◆□> < □> < 三> < 三> < □> < □> < □</p>

$$dy_t = b(y_t)dt + \sigma(t)dB_t, \qquad y_0 = a \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where *B* is a fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$.

$$dy_t = b(y_t)dt + \sigma(t)dB_t, \qquad y_0 = a \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where *B* is a fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$.

• Consider the Euler scheme:

$$y_{t_{k+1}}^n = y_{t_k}^n + b(y_{t_k}^n)(t_{k+1} - t_k) + \sigma(t_k)(B_{t_{k+1}} - B_{t_k}).$$

$$dy_t = b(y_t)dt + \sigma(t)dB_t, \qquad y_0 = a \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where *B* is a fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$.

• Consider the Euler scheme:

$$y_{t_{k+1}}^n = y_{t_k}^n + b(y_{t_k}^n)(t_{k+1} - t_k) + \sigma(t_k)(B_{t_{k+1}} - B_{t_k}).$$

• It is known that when $H \ge 1/2$ we have

$$\sup_{t_k} |y_{t_k} - y_{t_k}^n| \sim O(|\mathcal{P}|) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

$$dy_t = b(y_t)dt + \sigma(t)dB_t, \qquad y_0 = a \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where *B* is a fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$.

Consider the Euler scheme:

$$y_{t_{k+1}}^n = y_{t_k}^n + b(y_{t_k}^n)(t_{k+1} - t_k) + \sigma(t_k)(B_{t_{k+1}} - B_{t_k}).$$

• It is known that when $H \ge 1/2$ we have

$$\sup_{t_k} |y_{t_k} - y_{t_k}^n| \sim O(|\mathcal{P}|) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

given that the drift coefficient b is one-sided Lipschitz (Hu-L.-Zhou '22).

Question: What is the rate of convergence of the Euler scheme when H < 1/2?

$$dy_t = b(y_t)dt + \sigma(t)dB_t, \qquad y_0 = a \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where *B* is a fBm with Hurst parameter $H \in (0, 1)$.

Consider the Euler scheme:

$$y_{t_{k+1}}^n = y_{t_k}^n + b(y_{t_k}^n)(t_{k+1} - t_k) + \sigma(t_k)(B_{t_{k+1}} - B_{t_k}).$$

• It is known that when $H \ge 1/2$ we have

$$\sup_{t_k} |y_{t_k} - y_{t_k}^n| \sim O(|\mathcal{P}|) \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

given that the drift coefficient b is one-sided Lipschitz (Hu-L.-Zhou '22).

Question: What is the rate of convergence of the Euler scheme when H < 1/2? What if *B* is replaced by a general Gaussian process?

◆□> < □> < 三> < 三> < □> < □> < □</p>

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (y_{t_k} h_k^{n,1} + y_{t_k}' h_k^{n,2} + \dots + y_{t_k}^{(\ell-1)} h_k^{n,\ell})$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (y_{t_k} h_k^{n,1} + y_{t_k}' h_k^{n,2} + \dots + y_{t_k}^{(\ell-1)} h_k^{n,\ell}) := \mathcal{J}(y,h).$$

where $h_k^{n,i}$ is a functional of some underlying Gaussian process *X* and *y* is a process "controlled" by *X* (e.g. $y_t = f(X_t)$).

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (y_{t_k} h_k^{n,1} + y_{t_k}' h_k^{n,2} + \cdots + y_{t_k}^{(\ell-1)} h_k^{n,\ell}) := \mathcal{J}(y,h).$$

where $h_k^{n,i}$ is a functional of some underlying Gaussian process *X* and *y* is a process "controlled" by *X* (e.g. $y_t = f(X_t)$).

• A main issue arises from the cancellations among the weighted sums.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (y_{t_k} h_k^{n,1} + y_{t_k}' h_k^{n,2} + \dots + y_{t_k}^{(\ell-1)} h_k^{n,\ell}) := \mathcal{J}(y,h).$$

where $h_k^{n,i}$ is a functional of some underlying Gaussian process *X* and *y* is a process "controlled" by *X* (e.g. $y_t = f(X_t)$).

• A main issue arises from the cancellations among the weighted sums.

• It can be shown that when X is a fBm with Hurst parameter H < 1/2 we have the following orders:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_{t_k} h_k^{n,1} \sim O(1/n)^{2H} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_{t_k}' h_k^{n,2} \sim O(1/n)^{2H}$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (y_{t_k} h_k^{n,1} + y_{t_k}' h_k^{n,2} + \dots + y_{t_k}^{(\ell-1)} h_k^{n,\ell}) := \mathcal{J}(y,h).$$

where $h_k^{n,i}$ is a functional of some underlying Gaussian process *X* and *y* is a process "controlled" by *X* (e.g. $y_t = f(X_t)$).

• A main issue arises from the cancellations among the weighted sums.

• It can be shown that when X is a fBm with Hurst parameter H < 1/2 we have the following orders:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_{t_k} h_k^{n,1} \sim O(1/n)^{2H} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_{t_k}' h_k^{n,2} \sim O(1/n)^{2H}$$

On the other hand, the addition of the two sums converges to a non-zero limit at the rate $O(1/n)^{(4H)\wedge(H+1/2)}$.

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (y_{t_k} h_k^{n,1} + y_{t_k}' h_k^{n,2} + \dots + y_{t_k}^{(\ell-1)} h_k^{n,\ell}) := \mathcal{J}(y,h).$$

where $h_k^{n,i}$ is a functional of some underlying Gaussian process *X* and *y* is a process "controlled" by *X* (e.g. $y_t = f(X_t)$).

• A main issue arises from the cancellations among the weighted sums.

• It can be shown that when X is a fBm with Hurst parameter H < 1/2 we have the following orders:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_{t_k} h_k^{n,1} \sim O(1/n)^{2H} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} y_{t_k}' h_k^{n,2} \sim O(1/n)^{2H}$$

On the other hand, the addition of the two sums converges to a non-zero limit at the rate $O(1/n)^{(4H)\wedge(H+1/2)}$.

• Theorem (L. '24): We have $\mathcal{J}(y,h) \sim O(1/n)^{H+1/2}$, and $n^{H+1/2}\mathcal{J}(y,h) \rightarrow \int_0^T y_t dW_t$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where *W* is a Brownian motion independent of *X*.

- 4 同 ト 4 ヨ ト 4 ヨ ト